

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ORANGEBURG DIVISION

CHRISTOPHER MARK WILLIAMS,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:14-1664-MGL
	§	
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,	§	
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	§	
Defendant.	§	

## ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION REVERSING AND REMANDING THE CASE TO DEFENDANT FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION

This is a Social Security appeal in which Plaintiff seeks judicial review of a final decision of Defendant denying her claims for Disability Insurance Benefits (DIB) and Social Security Income (SSI). The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting to the Court that the case be reversed and remanded to Defendant for further consideration. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may

5:14-cv-01664-MGL Date Filed 07/17/15 Entry Number 27 Page 2 of 2

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or

recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on June 30, 2015, and Defendant filed her notice that

she did not intend to file any objections to the Report on July 16, 2015. "[I]n the absence of a timely

filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy

itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation."

Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ.

P. 72 advisory committee's note). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. Wright

v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set

forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment

of the Court that this case is hereby **REVERSED AND REMANDED** to Defendant for further

consideration as set forth in the Report.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 17th day of June, in Columbia, South Carolina.

s/ Mary G. Lewis

MARY G. LEWIS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2